Exhibit D

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

-----x Civil Action

SMITH KLINE & FRENCH LABORATORIES,: No. 05-197

LIMITED and SMITHKLINE BEECHAM

CORPORATION d/b/a GLAXOSMITHKLINE,: THIS DEPOSITION

Plaintiffs, : TRANSCRIPT

vs.

: CONTAINS MATERIAL

TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., : THAT IS SUBJECT

Defendant. : TO PROTECTIVE

----x ORDER

CONFIDENTIAL TRANSCRIPT

Videotaped Deposition of ROGER J. EDEN, a witness herein, called for examination by counsel for Defendant in the above-entitled matter, pursuant to notice, the witness being duly sworn by KAREN YOUNG, a Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia, taken at the offices of Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, 1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C., at 9:04 a.m. on April 27, 2006, and the proceedings being taken down by Stenotype by KAREN YOUNG, and transcribed under her direction.

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

42

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And then at the bottom of the first column
- 3 there, it says Mr. R. Eden for Dr. D. Owen.
- 4 A. Yes.
- ⁵ Q. R. Eden is you; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Who is Dr. D. Owen?
- 8 A. Dr. David Owen was my supervisor, head of
- 9 department.
- 10 Q. He was head of which department at that
- 11 time?
- A. Pharmacology.
- Q. Okay. So at that point, according to your
- 14 C.V., you were the leader of the special
- pharmacology group?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And Dr. Owen was your supervisor, and his
- 18 title was what?
- A. I don't know exactly.
- 20 Q. But --
- A. Director of pharmacology, something like
- 22 that.

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

58

- A. Smith Kline approached them.
- Q. Is there a particular reason that Smith
- 3 Kline picked those four people?
- MS. WIGMORE: I'm going to object to the
- extent you're asking him to testify on behalf of
- 6 Smith Kline. He can give his own understanding.
- A. I wasn't involved with the choice of
- 8 Bradford.
- 9 Q. Can you tell me who was involved with the
- 10 choice of Bradford?
- 11 A. Not exactly. I wasn't privy to the
- 12 conversations involved.
- Q. Would that be something that someone on
- the project team decided or is that completely
- 15 separate?
- MS. WIGMORE: Objection, calls for
- 17 speculation.
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Was there a liaison between these four
- 20 individuals at Bradford University and Smith Kline
- generally speaking?
- A. Prior to ropinirole?

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

60

- 1 A. At the start of the liaison.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if Dr. Owen selected
- 3 any of these four individuals to conduct research on
- 4 ropinirole?
- 5 A. I don't know that.
- Q. Do you know if Dr. Owen gave them the
- instructions as to what to research?
- A. I wasn't privy to all the conversations
- 9 and telephone calls.
- Q. Did they have many conversations and
- 11 telephone calls?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Were you privy to any of their
- 14 conversations and telephone calls?
- A. I was privy to the first visit.
- Q. Okay. When was that first visit?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. And just to be clear, that's the first
- visit between Dr. Owen and these four individuals at
- 20 Bradford University?
- A. At Bradford University, yes.
- Q. And you were also there at that meeting.

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

70

- 1 recording -- was she instructed to record CNS effect
- 2 data?
- A. No, she wasn't.
- Q. Okay.
- 5 A. These animals were constrained in a
- 6 special type of cage, so it would be virtually
- impossible to make proper observations after dosing
- 8 them by mouth at naught minutes after dosing.
- 9 Q. Okay. So if you wanted to see central
- nervous system effects in these rats, how would you
- have to have changed that experiment?
- MS. WIGMORE: I object to the form.
- A. You wouldn't change it, but it's an oral
- dose. It doesn't whistle in like intravenous. It's
- not like an intravenous injection.
- Q. So by that, do you mean that because it
- was an oral dose, it might take longer to have an
- 18 effect?
- 19 A. There's a delay usually.
- Q. If I could direct your attention then to
- 21 another entry in the same laboratory notebook, it
- starts on the page marked GSK-REQ000383 and appears

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

71

- to be an entry dated February 11th, 1986, and again,
- this is still in Exhibit 24. Are you with me?
- \mathbf{A} . Uh-huh.
- Q. Can you tell me what this experiment --
- 5 sorry. I'll back up. Does this entry also describe
- an experiment that was conducted by Annette Wright?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Can you describe for me what this
- 9 experiment involved?
- A. Again, this is a measurement of blood
- 11 pressure and heart rate in spontaneously
- 12 hypertensive rats.
- Q. Okay. There's a notation there that says
- -- in the first line of the entry that says 2.5
- milligrams per kilogram IV.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Does that indicate that the -- that
- 18 ropinirole was administered to these rats
- 19 intravenously?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So then just to make sure that I
- understand what you said earlier correctly, the

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

72

- intravenous dosing should result in a quicker effect
- on the rats; is that right?
- A. I would expect that.
- Q. Okay. If you could flip back three pages
- to the page marked GSK-REQ000386, there's another
- 6 comment chart there, and one of the comments within
- the box that corresponds for a time of 15 minutes,
- 8 there's a comment that says, "All eyes closed, all
- 9 show classic stereotyped sniffing behavior."
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. What do you understand that to mean?
- A. I understand it to mean that the animals
- were showing stereotypy.
- Q. And so that -- so based on what you said
- earlier, that would mean that -- or that would be a
- sign that the ropinirole was crossing the blood-
- brain boundary and entering the central nervous
- system of these four rats?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you said that it shows CNS
- effects, is there a particular dopamine receptor
- that is associated with stereotypy effects?

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

94

- experiment, she was looking for cardiovascular
- effect; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. After -- after Ms. Wright made her
- findings, was there a point in time where ropinirole
- 6 became -- began to be considered as a potential
- 7 treatment for Parkinson's disease?
- MS. WIGMORE: Objection, lack of
- 9 foundation. You can answer based on your own
- understanding.
- 11 A. After --
- Q. After February of 1986.
- A. After that, it was considered.
- Q. Okay. Who first -- to your knowledge, who
- first thought that ropinirole could be used to treat
- Parkinson's disease?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. At that point in time, were you part of
- the ropinirole project team?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And would that -- would the concept of
- using ropinirole as a treatment for Parkinson's,

CONFIDENTIAL Washington, DC

April 27, 2006

108

- 1 contract or agreement under which these researchers
- were operating?
- MS. WIGMORE: Objection, foundation.
- A. I don't know of one.
- Do you remember if there was a contract or
- an agreement discussed or presented at the initial
- meeting that you attended with the Bradford
- 8 researchers?
- A. I don't remember one.
- Q. Did the Bradford researchers -- were they
- 11 -- strike that. Were the Bradford researchers
- required to turn over the results of their work to
- 13 Smith Kline?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Were they prohibited from publishing
- information about their research for Smith Kline
- without Smith Kline's approval?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And who at Smith Kline, if you know, would
- have given the approval for such a publication?
- A. I don't remember exactly, but I presume
- the development team.